

THE ERDŐS-TURÁN PROBLEM IN INFINITE GROUPS

SERGEI V. KONYAGIN AND VSEVOLOD F. LEV

Dedicated to Mel Nathanson on the occasion of his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. Let G be an infinite abelian group with $|2G| = |G|$. We show that if G is not the direct sum of a group of exponent 3 and the group of order 2, then G possesses a perfect additive basis; that is, there is a subset $S \subseteq G$ such that every element of G is uniquely representable as a sum of two elements of S . Moreover, if G is the direct sum of a group of exponent 3 and the group of order 2, then it does not have a perfect additive basis; however, in this case there is a subset $S \subseteq G$ such that every element of G has at most two representations (distinct under permuting the summands) as a sum of two elements of S . This solves completely the Erdős-Turán problem for infinite groups.

It is also shown that if G is an abelian group of exponent 2, then there is a subset $S \subseteq G$ such that every element of G has a representation as a sum of two elements of S , and the number of representations of non-zero elements is bounded by an absolute constant.

1. THE BACKGROUND

A subset of an abelian semigroup is called an *additive basis of order 2*, or *basis* for short, if every element of the semigroup is representable as a sum of two elements of the subset. We say that a basis is *perfect* if every element is represented uniquely, up to the order of summands. The representation function of a basis associates with each element the number of its (ordered) representations as a sum of two elements from the basis. If the semigroup can be embedded into an involution-free group, then for a basis to be perfect it is necessary and sufficient that its representation function is bounded by 2.

A famous open conjecture of Erdős and Turán [ET41] is that every basis of the semigroup $\mathbb{N}_0$ of non-negative integers has unbounded representation function; that is, if $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ is a set such that each non-negative integer is representable as a sum of two elements of S , then there are integers with arbitrarily many representations.

Most investigations related to the Erdős-Turán conjecture study representation functions of bases of $\mathbb{N}_0$ (see [NS07] for a survey) or consider the analogous problem

The first author was supported by grants 08-01-00208 from the Russian Foundation for Basic Research and NSh-3233.2008.1 from the Program Supporting Leading Scientific Schools.

for infinite abelian semigroups other than $\mathbb{N}_0$, and also for infinite families of abelian semigroups (see [HH04]). In the present paper we are concerned with the latter line of research.

There are several noticeable cases where bases with bounded representation functions are known to exist. As an example, Nathanson [N03] proved that the group of integers possesses a perfect basis. Ruzsa [R90] showed that if p is a prime with $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = -1$, then the group $\mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$ possesses a basis such that every group element has at most 18 representations as a sum of two elements of this basis. (Here and below for a prime p we denote by $\mathbb{F}_p$ the finite field with p elements. To simplify the notation, we occasionally identify a field with its additive group.) As a corollary, Ruzsa derived a result [R90, Theorem 1] which easily implies that every finite cyclic group has a basis whose representation function is bounded by an absolute constant, independent of the order of the group. The approach of [R90] was further developed by Haddad and Helou [HH04] to show that for any finite field $\mathbb{F}$ of odd characteristic, the group $\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$ has a basis whose representation function does not exceed 18. In the case where $\mathbb{F}$ is a finite field of characteristic 2, a basis in $\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$ with a bounded representation function was constructed in [GDT91, Lemma 1], though the property we are interested in has never been identified explicitly to our knowledge.

2. THE RESULTS

For a subset C of an abelian group and an integer $n \geq 1$ we write

$$nC := \{nc : c \in C\}.$$

Our main result is

Theorem 1. *Let G be an infinite abelian group with $|2G| = |G|$.*

- (i) *If G is not the direct sum of a group of exponent 3 and the group of order 2, then G has a perfect basis.*
- (ii) *If G is the direct sum of a group of exponent 3 and the group of order 2, then G does not have a perfect basis, but has a basis such that every element of G has at most two representations (distinct under permuting the summands) as a sum of two elements of the basis.*

Clearly, if G is an infinite abelian group with $|2G| < |G|$, then for any basis S of G (and indeed, for any subset $S \subseteq G$ with $|S| = |G|$) there is an element of G having as many as $|G|$ representations of the form $2s$ with $s \in S$. In particular, this applies to infinite abelian groups of exponent 2. Similarly, for no infinite family of groups of exponent 2 can one find bases with uniformly bounded representation functions, even

if the groups of the family are finite. We show that, nevertheless, efficient bases in such groups do exist if we exclude the zero element from consideration.

Theorem 2. *Each abelian group of exponent 2 possesses a basis such that every non-zero element of the group has at most 36 representations as a sum of two elements of this basis.*

Combined with the result of Haddad and Helou and the corollary of Ruzsa's result, mentioned in Section 1, Theorems 1 and 2 readily yield

Corollary 1. *Let G be an abelian group. If G is either infinite with $|2G| = |G|$, or has prime exponent, then it possesses a basis with the representation function bounded by an absolute constant (independent of the group), except for the value of the function on the zero element in the case where G is of exponent 2.*

We notice that excluding the zero element for groups of exponent 2 is equivalent to disregarding representations with equal summands. To our present knowledge, a universal constant K may exist with the property that each abelian group possesses a basis such that every element of the group has at most K representations as a sum of two distinct elements of this basis.

3. THE PROOFS

In this section we use the word “basis” both in the above-defined and linear-algebraic meaning, adding the attribute *linear* in the latter case to avoid confusion.

Our argument depends on the axiom of choice, which we assume for the rest of the paper.

To handle infinite groups of exponents 2 and 3, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *If G is an infinite abelian group of prime exponent p , then there exists an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic p such that $G \cong \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$.*

The proof uses several facts, well known from algebra and set theory; namely,

- (i) every vector space has a linear basis;
- (ii) an infinite set can be partitioned into two disjoint subsets of equal cardinality;
- (iii) an infinite vector space over a finite field has the same cardinality as any of its linear bases;
- (iv) the field of rational functions over a finite field in the variables, indexed by the elements of an infinite set, has the same cardinality as this set;
- (v) the algebraic closure of an infinite field has the same cardinality as the field itself.

We notice that (i) follows easily from Zorn's lemma, while (ii)–(v) are not difficult to derive from the basic set theory result saying that for any infinite cardinal m , a union of at most m sets, each of cardinality at most m , has cardinality at most m .

Proof of Lemma 1. Considering G as a vector space over the field $\mathbb{F}_p$, find a linear basis B of G and fix a partition $B = B_1 \cup B_2$, where B_1 and B_2 are disjoint subsets of equal cardinality. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$ denote by G_i the group of functions from B_i to $\mathbb{F}_p$ with a finite support; thus, $G_1 \cong G_2$, and since G is isomorphic to the group of functions from B to $\mathbb{F}_p$ with a finite support, we have $G \cong G_1 \times G_2$. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the algebraic closure of the field of rational functions over $\mathbb{F}_p$ in the variables, indexed by the elements of G_1 . By (iv) and (v), the cardinality of $\mathbb{F}$ is equal to the cardinality of G_1 . From (iii) we conclude now that every linear basis of $\mathbb{F}$ has the same cardinality as B_1 which, we recall, is a linear basis of G_1 . Any bijection from B_1 to a linear basis of $\mathbb{F}$ determines a group isomorphism between G_1 and the additive group of $\mathbb{F}$. As a result, we have $G_1 \cong \mathbb{F}$, and hence also $G_2 \cong \mathbb{F}$, implying the assertion. $\square$

For an abelian group G , an integer $n \geq 1$, and subsets $A, B, C \subseteq G$ we write

$$G_n := \{g \in G : ng = 0\},$$

$$A \pm B := \{a \pm b : a \in A, b \in B\},$$

and

$$A + B - C := \{a + b - c : a \in A, b \in B, c \in C\}.$$

From $G/G_n \cong nG$ we conclude that if $|G|$ is infinite, then $\max\{|G_n|, |nG|\} = |G|$.

Yet another result used in the proof of Theorem 1 is

Lemma 2. *Let G be an abelian group such that $2G$ is infinite. If $A, B \subseteq G$ satisfy*

$$\max\{|A|, |B|\} < \min\{|2G|, |3G|\},$$

then there exists an element $s \in G$ with $2s \notin A$ and $3s \notin B$.

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that for every $s \in G$ we have either $2s \in A$, or $3s \in B$. Without loss of generality we assume $B \subseteq 3G$, and we find then a subset $U \subseteq G$ with $|U| = |B|$ and $B = \{3u : u \in U\}$.

Fix $w \in G$ with $3w \notin B$. For any $g \in G_3$ we have $3(w + g) = 3w \notin B$, whence $2(w + g) \in A$ and therefore $2g \in -2w + A$. Now if $s \in G$ satisfies $3s \in B$, then $s = u + g$ with some $u \in U$ and $g \in G_3$, implying $2s = 2u + 2g \in 2u - 2w + A \subseteq -2w + 2U + A$. It follows that for every $s \in G$ we have either $2s \in -2w + 2U + A$, or $2s \in A$; this, however, is impossible as $|-2w + 2U + A| \leq |U||A| < |2G|$ and $|A| < |2G|$ by the assumptions. $\square$

Eventually, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. We split the proof into three parts.

1. First, suppose that G is of exponent 3. By Lemma 1, we can assume $G = \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$, where $\mathbb{F}$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3. Set

$$S := \{(x, x^2) : x \in \mathbb{F}\}.$$

For each pair $(u, v) \in G$, the number of representations of (u, v) as a sum of two elements of S is the number of solutions of the equation

$$x^2 + (u - x)^2 = v; \quad x \in \mathbb{F},$$

which is either 1, or 2. The assertion follows.

We remark that this argument above actually goes through for any odd prime exponent; however, only the case of exponent 3 is not covered by the proof below.

2. Now suppose that $G = F \oplus \{0, h\}$, where F is of exponent 3 and h has order 2. As shown above, F has a perfect basis S , and it is immediate that $S \cup (h + S)$ is a basis of G such that every element of G has at most two representations (distinct under permuting the summands) as a sum of two elements of this basis.

Assuming, on the other hand, that G possesses a *perfect* basis, we write this basis as $T = T_0 \cup (h + T_1)$ with $T_0, T_1 \subseteq F$. Shifting T appropriately, we assume furthermore that $0 \in T_0$. To obtain a contradiction we observe that the unique representation of h as a sum of two elements of T has the form $h = t_0 + (h + t_1)$ with $t_0 \in T_0$ and $t_1 \in T_1$; hence, $2(t_1 + h) = t_0 + 0$ gives two representations of t_0 as a sum of two elements of T .

3. Turning to the general case, we denote by μ the initial ordinal of the cardinal $|G|$ and consider a well-ordering $G = \{g_\iota : \iota < \mu\}$. Notice, that μ is a limit ordinal, and hence the successor of any ordinal, smaller than μ , is also smaller than μ .

We set $S_0 := \emptyset$ and construct a chain of subsets S_ι , for each ordinal $\iota \leq \mu$, so that

- $S_\iota \subseteq S_\rho$ whenever $\iota < \rho \leq \mu$;
- if ι is a finite ordinal, then S_ι is finite, and if $\iota \leq \mu$ is infinite, then $|S_\iota| \leq |\iota|$;
- $g_\iota \in S_\rho + S_\rho$ whenever $\iota < \rho \leq \mu$;
- for any ordinal $\iota \leq \mu$ and element $g \in G$ there is at most one representation of g as a sum of two elements of S_ι .

The proof is then completed by observing that S_μ is a perfect basis of G ; hence, it suffices to show that the subsets S_ι can be constructed.

We use transfinite recursion, assuming that $\nu \leq \mu$ and that S_ι has already been found for each ordinal $\iota < \nu$, and constructing S_ν . If ν is a limit ordinal, then we put $S_\nu := \bigcup_{\iota < \nu} S_\iota$. If ν is a successor ordinal and $g_{\nu-1} \in S_{\nu-1} + S_{\nu-1}$, then we put $S_\nu :=$

$S_{\nu-1}$. In the remaining case where ν is a successor ordinal and $g_{\nu-1} \notin S_{\nu-1} + S_{\nu-1}$ we put $S_\nu := S_{\nu-1} \cup \{s, t\}$, where $s, t \in G$ with $s + t = g_{\nu-1}$ are chosen to satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) if $|3G| < |G|$, then $s, t \notin S_{\nu-1} + 3G$;
- (b) $s, t \notin S_{\nu-1} + S_{\nu-1} - S_{\nu-1}$;
- (c) $2s, 2t \notin S_{\nu-1} + S_{\nu-1}$;
- (d) $s - t \notin S_{\nu-1} - S_{\nu-1}$;
- (e) $2s - t, 2t - s \notin S_{\nu-1}$.

Condition (a) is of technical nature and its exact purpose will be clarified in the following paragraph, while the last four conditions ensure that the unique representation property of $S_{\nu-1}$ is inherited by S_ν . Thus, to complete the proof it suffices to show that s and $t := g_{\nu-1} - s$ satisfying (a)–(e) can be found.

To this end we first observe that if $S_{\nu-1}$ is infinite, then condition (e) excludes at most $|S_{\nu-1}| \leq |\nu - 1| < |\mu| = |G|$ options for $3s$, and similarly (a)–(d) together exclude fewer, than $|G|$ options for $2s$. Clearly, this conclusion remains valid if $S_{\nu-1}$ is finite. Therefore, in view of Lemma 2 we can assume that $|3G| < |G|$. Consequently, securing (a) at each step of the construction, we have ensured that all elements of $S_{\nu-1}$ fall into distinct cosets of $3G$, and in particular each of $g_{\nu-1} + S_{\nu-1}$ and $2g_{\nu-1} - 2S_{\nu-1}$ contains at most one element from $3G$. Since (e) can be re-written as

$$3s \notin (g_{\nu-1} + S_{\nu-1}) \cup (2g_{\nu-1} - S_{\nu-1}),$$

if $|3G| \geq 3$, then there exists $g \in G$ such that every $s \in g + G_3$ satisfies (e). As remarked above, (a)–(d) reduce to forbidding fewer than $|G|$ values for $2s$; that is, forbidding fewer than $|G|$ cosets of G_2 for s . Since $|g + G_3| = |G|$ in view of $|3G| < |G|$, and every G_2 -coset intersects $g + G_3$ by at most one element, there exists $s \in g + G_3$ with an admissible value of $2s$, proving the assertion.

Suppose, therefore, that $|3G| < 3$. If $|3G| = 1$, then G is of exponent 3, the case which has been addressed above. If $|3G| = 2$, then the identity $g = -2g + 3g$ shows that $G = G_3 + 3G$, and the sum is direct as if $g \in G_3 \cap 3G$, then $2g = 0$ (as $g \in 3G$ and $|3G| = 2$) and $3g = 0$ (as $g \in G_3$), implying $g = 0$. Consequently, G is the direct sum of a group of exponent 3 and the group of order 2. This completes the proof. $\square$

Finally, we prove Theorem 2. As indicated in the introduction, the construction employed in the proof is adopted from [GDT91], where it is used (in the finite-dimensional case) to find small codes with covering radius 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. In view of Lemma 1, it suffices to show that if the field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic 2 is either finite or algebraically closed, then the group $\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$ has a basis with the representation function bounded by 18. Clearly, we can assume $|\mathbb{F}| > 2$.

We fix $d_1, d_2, d_3 \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ with $d_1 + d_2 + d_3 = 0$, write

$$S_i := \{(x, d_i/x) : x \in \mathbb{F}^\times\}; \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\},$$

and put $S = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$. For $(u, v) \in \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$ let $r(u, v)$ denote the number of representations of (u, v) as a sum of two elements of S , and for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ denote by $r_{ij}(u, v)$ the number of representations of (u, v) as a sum of an element of S_i and an element of S_j . Since the sets S_1, S_2 , and S_3 are pairwise disjoint, we have

$$r(u, v) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 r_{ij}(u, v)$$

and furthermore,

$$r_{ij}(u, v) = |\{x \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, u\} : d_i/x + d_j/(x+u) = v\}|; \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

The equation $d_i/x + d_j/(x+u) = v$ can be re-written as

$$vx^2 + (uv + d_i + d_j)x + d_iu = 0 \tag{1}$$

and since it has a non-zero coefficient unless $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$, we have $r_{ij}(u, v) \leq 2$, except if $u = v = 0$. It follows that $r(u, v) \leq 18$ and to achieve our goal it suffices to show that for any $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$ there are $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $r_{ij}(u, v) > 0$. We consider three cases.

If $u = 0$ and $v \neq 0$, then $r_{12}(u, v)$ is the number of solutions of $d_1/x + d_2/x = v$, which is 1.

If $u \neq 0$ and $v = 0$, then $r_{12}(u, v)$ is the number of solutions of $d_1/x = d_2/(x+u)$; this leads to a non-degenerate linear equation, the solution of which is distinct from both 0 and u .

Finally, suppose that $u \neq 0$ and $v \neq 0$. In this case for $j = i$ equation (1) takes the form

$$vx^2 + uvx + d_iu = 0, \tag{2}$$

and for $r_{ii}(u, v)$ to be non-zero it is necessary and sufficient that (2) has a solution (which automatically is then distinct from 0 and u). If $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed, then we are done; suppose, therefore, that $\mathbb{F}$ is finite. Since (2) can be re-written as

$$(x/u)^2 + (x/u) = d_i/(uv),$$

it has a solution if and only if $d_i/(uv)$ belongs to the image of the linear transformation $x \mapsto x + x^2$ of the field $\mathbb{F}$ considered as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_2$. The kernel of this

transformation is a subspace of dimension 1; hence its image is a subspace of $\mathbb{F}$ of co-dimension 1. (This image actually is the set of all the elements of $\mathbb{F}$ with zero trace, but we do not use this fact.) Consequently,

$$d_1/(uv) + d_2/(uv) + d_3/(uv) = 0$$

implies that at least one of $d_1/(uv)$, $d_2/(uv)$, and $d_3/(uv)$ is an element of the image. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [ET41] P. ERDŐS and P. TURÁN, On a problem of Sidon in additive number theory, and on some related problems, *J. London Math. Soc.* **16** (1941), 212–215.
- [GDT91] E. GABIDULIN, A. DAVYDOV, and L. TOMBAK, Linear codes with covering radius 2 and other new covering codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **37** (1) (1991), 219–224.
- [HH04] L. HADDAD and C. HELOU, Bases in some additive groups and the Erdős-Turán conjecture, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **108** (1) (2004), 147–153.
- [N03] M. NATHANSON, Unique representation bases for the integers, *Acta Arith.* **108** (1) (2003), 1–8.
- [NS07] J. NEŠETŘIL and O. SERRA, On a conjecture of Erdős and Turán for additive bases, In: *Bib. de la Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, Proceedings of the Segundas Jornadas de Theoria de Numeros (Madrid 2007)*, 1–12.
- [R90] I.Z. RUZSA, A just basis, *Monatsh. Math.* **109** (2) (1990), 145–151.

E-mail address: konyagin@ok.ru

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, 8 GUBKINA ST, MOSCOW 119991, RUSSIA

E-mail address: seva@math.haifa.ac.il

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA AT ORANIM, TIVON 36006, ISRAEL